

Sri. PUTTAMADAMMA.—In Mysore City alone, there are about 75 to 100 girls. Will the Government therefore provide another boarding home there?

Mr. J. MAHOMED IMAM.—We shall consider the suggestion.

Mr. M. C. CHELUVIAH.—Cannot the Government arrange to open such boarding homes in different districts?

Mr. J. MAHOMED IMAM.—It is advantageous to have a central boarding home in a place like Bangalore.

Mr. JATHARE NAIK.—Will the Government be pleased to convert the Banajara Hostel at Chitaldrug into a free boarding home?

Mr. J. MAHOMED IMAM.—Not for the present.

Mr. D. DEVIAH.—What is the number of Depressed Class boys who had gone to Bangalore from various places and returned for want of accommodation?

Mr. J. MAHOMED IMAM.—From the report before me I see that the accommodation in the Depressed Class Boys' Boarding Home at Bangalore is more than enough and that the required number of candidates have not been forthcoming. There is room for admitting more candidates.

Mr. K. SIDDIH.—Is it possible to open an additional Boarding Home at Mysore?

Mr. J. MAHOMED IMAM.—There is one Central Boarding Home at Bangalore. There is no use of opening hostels in a number of places.

Mr. K. SIDDIH.—Then let at least the accommodation in the existing Boarding Home be increased so as to take in 100 boarders.

Mr. J. MAHOMED IMAM.—If there is demand, we shall certainly consider.

Lands resumed to Government for Want of Bidders.

Q.—98. Mr. B. ANANDA RAO (Sorab—Rural).—

Will the Government be pleased to state:—

(a) the extent of dry uncultivated lands that have come to the possession of the Government for want of bidders;

(b) the total amount of loss thus sustained by Government every year?

A.—The CHIEF SECRETARY to GOVERNMENT (Rajasevaprakashta B. T. Kesavaiah).—

(a) Please see the annexed statement.

(b) Information is not readily available.

Mr. B. ANANDA RAO.—There is some mistake in the question as printed in English. I had asked for information about wet lands. The answer furnished is in respect of dry lands. The question as printed in the Kannada set is all right.

Mr. B. T. KESAVAIENGAR.—Yes, it will be got rectified.

Mr. Kadidal MANJAPPA GOWDA.—If lands are resumed to Government for want of bidders, how are the arrears of assessment outstanding in the books to be adjusted?

Mr. B. T. KESAVAIENGAR.—The assessment due to Government will remain as a demand.

Mr. Kadidal MANJAPPA GOWDA.—Then, why not give away the lands to the original *khatedars* themselves?

Mr. B. T. KESAVAIENGAR.—If applications for the restoration of *khates* are received from the parties, *khates* will be restored.

Mr. H. KRISHNAMURTHY ACHAR.—Why is not the information furnished talukwar in answer to question (a)?

Mr. B. T. KESAVAIENGAR.—It would have taken too long for collecting the information in respect of all the taluks.

Mr. HONNASIDDIH.—But till the *khates* are restored, do the lands continue to remain as *banjar* or are they brought under cultivation?

Mr. B. T. KESAVAIENGAR.—Sometimes they come under cultivation but in all such cases, *takrar taktes* are filed.

Mr. HONNASIDDIH.—In how many cases were *takrar taktes* filed last year?

Mr. B. T. KESAVAIENGAR.—Notice.

Mr. HONNASIDDIH.—In all those cases, were the lands cultivated by the *hiduvalidars* themselves or by third parties?

Mr. B. T. KESAVAIENGAR.—It is not possible to say that; but generally, it is only the *hiduvalidars* who cultivate the lands.

Mr. HONNASIDDIH.—When lands are reserved for Government, do the

revenue authorities actually take charge of them or do they continue to be in charge of the *hiduvalidars*?

Mr. B. T. KESAVAIENGAR.—Whoever may take charge, the lands will be there and anybody may cultivate them. And if the cultivation is unauthorized, *takrar taktes* are filed.

Mr. HONNASIDDIAH.—And in such cases, who collects the assessment?

Mr. B. T. KESAVAIENGAR.—The village officers under the orders of the local revenue authority.

Mr. HONNASIDDIAH.—Are they paid any special remuneration for collecting the fines, etc., in addition to the normal revenue demand?

Mr. B. T. KESAVAIENGAR.—I am not sure.

Mr. HONNASIDDIAH.—When they make such special collections, is it not but fair to give them some extra remuneration?

Mr. B. T. KESAVAIENGAR.—It is a matter of opinion.

Mr. Bhupalam R. CHANDRASEKHARAYYA.—What is the reason for more than a lakh of acres lying *banjar* in various parts of the State?

Mr. B. T. KESAVAIENGAR.—It is not a supplementary question.

Mr. Bhupalam R. CHANDRASEKHARAYYA.—Is it not an indication of the low economic condition of the people?

Mr. B. T. KESAVAIENGAR.—Not necessarily.

Mr. V. VENKATAPPA.—How else is it explained?

Mr. B. T. KESAVAIENGAR.—Because, lands are brought to auction for non-payment of assessment, they remain uncultivated.

Mr. V. VENKATAPPA.—But how long will they remain unrestored to the parties?

Mr. B. T. KESAVAIENGAR.—Circular instructions have been issued that the lands should be promptly restored to the parties if the arrears are paid up.

Mr. V. VENKATAPPA.—Is any maximum period defined within which the restoration should be effected?

Mr. B. T. KESAVAIENGAR.—Six months. But if the sale is not confirmed in favour of others, the *khate* may be

restored to the original holder even after that period.

Mr. S. N. M. RAZVI.—Why is it stated that information about the total loss to Government is not readily available? Can it not be calculated?

Mr. PRESIDENT.—It is difficult to find it out exactly.

Mr. Khaji SYED YUSUF SAHIB.—Will the Government see that the fines imposed in *takrar takte* cases are light and reasonable?

Mr. B. T. KESAVAIENGAR.—That has been the case.

Mr. U. L. RAMACHANDRA RAO.—In the case of very poor people, cannot the time allowed for paying arrears be extended to 3 years?

Mr. PRESIDENT.—That is too long a period indeed! But instructions have been issued that all reasonable concessions should be shown in such cases.

Discussion on the Point of Order raised in respect of Comments on the Chair's Ruling disallowing an Adjournment Motion.

Questions over, the House proceeded to discuss the point of order raised by Mr. F. C. Devaraj Urs on 9th October 1941, relating to a certain statement issued to the public press by Mr. K. Chengalaraya Reddy criticising the disallowance by the Dewan-President of an adjournment motion concerning the appointment of Mr. S. G. Forbes as Electrical Adviser to the Government.

Mr. F. C. DEVARAJ URS initiating the discussion, thanked the President for having kindly allowed the point of order raised by him to be discussed on the present occasion. He was proud that Mysore State was recognised as a Model State and that many ardent advocates of democracy had focussed their attention on how the representatives of the people of Mysore would acquit themselves in the discharge of the increased responsibilities vouchsafed to them under the new reforms granted by His Highness the Maharaja; and also many distinguished visitors like Governors of British Indian Provinces, and Rulers of Indian States attending the sessions of the Constitutional Assemblies of the